|    | Case 1:23-cv-00287-JLT-BAM Documer  | nt 11 Filed 06/21/23 Page 1 of 2  |
|----|---|---|
| 1  |   |   |
| 2  |   |   |
| 3  |   |   |
| 4  |   |   |
| 5  |   |   |
| 6  |   |   |
| 7  |   |   |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |   |
| 9  | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |   |
| 10 |   |   |
| 11 | CLIFFORD POLLARD TURNER,  | No. 1:23-cv-0287 JLT BAM (PC)   |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND<br>RECOMMENDATIONS TO DISMISS<br>ACTION, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, FOR<br>FAILURE TO OBEY COURT ORDERS AND |
| 13 | v.  |   |
| 14 | JEWISH, et al.,   | FAILURE TO PROSECUTE  |
| 15 | Defendants.   | (Doc. 10)   |
| 16 |   |   |
| 17 | Clifford Pollard Turner is a Texas state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights     |   |
| 18 | action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge   |   |
| 19 | pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.  |   |
| 20 | The magistrate judge found Plaintiff failed to prosecute this action after he failed to             |   |
| 21 | respond to the Court's order directing him to submit a completed application to proceed in forma    |   |
| 22 | pauperis or pay the \$402.00 filing fee, and "to file a signed complaint, not to exceed 25 pages in |   |
| 23 | length." (Doc. 10 at 1, citing Doc. 4.) The magistrate judge noted that Plaintiff was "expressly    |   |
| 24 | warned Plaintiff that failure to comply with the Court's order would result in dismissal of this    |   |
| 25 | action, without prejudice." (Id.) Accordingly, the magistrate judge recommended the action be       |   |
| 26 | dismissed without prejudice. (Id. at 4.)  |   |
| 27 | The Findings and Recommendations were served on Plaintiff by mail on May 24, 2023,                  |   |
| 28 | and contained a notice that any objections must be filed within 14 days. (Doc. 10 at 4.) In         |   |
|    |   | 1   |

## addition, Plaintiff was advised the "failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of the 'right to challenge the magistrate's factual findings' on appeal." (Id., quoting Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014), Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991).) No objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has now passed. According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire matter, the Court concludes the magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Based on the foregoing, the Court **ORDERS**: The Findings and Recommendations issued on May 24, 2023 (Doc. 10), are 1. **ADOPTED** in full. 2. This action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. PUNITUL THUMM TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Dated: **June 21, 2023**

Case 1:23-cv-00287-JLT-BAM Document 11 Filed 06/21/23 Page 2 of 2